

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/618,203	Applicant(s) SAINT-HILAIRE ET AL.	
	Examiner DANIEL F. HAJNIK	Art Unit 2628	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL F. HAJNIK.

(2) Robert O' Rourke.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: Final

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 5PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 103(a) and 35 USC 101

Claims discussed:
35-61

Prior art documents discussed:
Ludvig and Beranek

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Amendments were proposed by way of fax to put the case in condition for allowance. Voicemails were left 9/15/2010; 9/17/2010 and 9/21/2010; No response has been received as of the writing of this office action on 9/23/2010.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)